

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. Dex 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•	www.uspto.gov		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRETAL			
09/815,571	03/23/2001	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONCIDA	
		Takashi Kitao		CONFIRMATION NO.	
7.0			F-6916	7485	
	90 07/15/2003				
JORDAN AN	D HAMBURG				
122 East 42nd S	treet		EXAMINER		
New York, NY	10168				
			NGUYEN, KIM T		
		•			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3713	THE ENTHOMBER	
				,	
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	5	
)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(<u>W</u>				
— ··	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/815,571	KITAO, TAKASHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kim Nguyen	3713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	election requirement					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	ſ.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the

claimed invention:

Species 1: Figs. 2-5 (claims 1, 8, and 15) drawn to displaying a field of view

according to a specific view point position.

Species 2: Fig. 8 (claims 2, 9, and 16) drawn to moving a view point along a virtual

line connecting the first view point and the second view point.

Species 3: Fig. 7 (claims 3-7, 10-14, and 17) drawn to moving a view point according

to the distance between objects.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09815571

Art Unit: 3713

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims

Page 3

to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election,

applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an 2.

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 3.

should be directed to Kim Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-7915. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30AM to 5:30PM ET. The fax phone number

for this Group is (703) 872-9302.

kn

Date: July 9, 2003